

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,612	07/25/2003	Shushi Ikeda	240733US0	9365	
22850	7590 07/13/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			YEE, DEBORAH		
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	-	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				//			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
		10/626,612	IKEDA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Deborah Yee	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ag	<u>oril 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawn t						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Tom consideration.					
'=	☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
- /-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-28-06</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/626,612 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 to 5, 9 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'759 or JP'853 for the reasons set forth in office action dated January 20, 2006.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'759 or JP'853 as applied to claims 1,3-5, 9 and 10 above, and further in view of EP'780 for the reasons set forth in office action dated January 20, 2006.
- 4. Claims 1 to 5,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP'780 for the reasons set forth in office action dated January 20, 2006

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed April 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It was stated that JP'759, JP'853 and EP'780, each do not disclose or suggest the limitation wherein "...there exist no more than 40 carbide grains per 2000 microns in the steel sheet between the retained austenite and ferrite" as recited by claim 1. It is the examiner's position that prior art teaches a steel sheet having a

Application/Control Number: 10/626,612 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

composition and microstructure which closely meets the present invention, and exhibit essentially the same present invention properties of high tensile strength at greater than 600MPA and high elongation at more than 30% and excellent bendability. Since composition, microstructure, and properties are closely met, then the claimed carbide limitation of no more than 40 carbide grains per 2000 microns between the retain austenite and ferrite would be expected in absence of proof to the contrary.

- 6. It was stated that the cited prior art fails to suggest the precise 2-stage heat treatment of the present invention, It is the examiner's position that since claims are directed to a product-by-process, then patentability is determined by the product per se and not the process limitations. Applicant has the burden to show that prior art products do no necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the claimed product.
- 7. Moreover, EP780 in claim 12 on page 20 teaches processing alloy in substantially the same manner as taught by applicant comprising the steps of hot rolling at austenitic temperature with a finishing temperature of Ar to 100C+100Ar3 (overlaps claim A1 to A3 point), cooling to Ar1 to Ar3 (within 700+/- 30C) and holding for 1 to 20 seconds (overlaps 10-30seconds), then cooling at 20C/sec (within greater than 10C/sec) to a coiling temperature at 350 to 450C(within 400+/-50C) followed by air cooling.
- 8. Furthermore, the 1.132 Declaration submitted May 25, 2005 is not deemed persuasive because it fails to demonstrate and compare the differences between JP'759, JP'853 and EP'780 with the present invention.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deborah Yee Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742